Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment/U nit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
T6-20-306 Rev01	4	DP603039		83	83 KINKI SPUR ROAD	YARRAHAPINNI	2441	3: Residential - New second occupancy	KLEP2013	RU1 Primary Production	Clause 4.2E of KLEP	The proposed modification to the location of the second dwelling is on the western side of the site, away from nearby agricultural use that have any potential to conflict. The proposed location is more suitable than the approved location, being further from the bushfire hazard, and enjoying views of the ocean. Strictly enforcing the standards is unnecessary in the circumstances and would result in inferior outcomes from agricultural and scenic perspectives. In the absence of any adverse impacts in terms of agricultural viability, environmental impact and/or rural amently, it is recommended that the development standard is unnecessary in the circumstances of this case. It is noted that, pursuant to Clause 64 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, the Director-General has notified Council of assumed concurrence in respect of all applications made under Clause 4.6 (aside from certain rural development that does not apply in this instance). Given the above, it is considered that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify variation from the development standard in this circumstance. As such, it is recommended that Council support the request to vary Clause 4.2E of KLEP 2013.	30%	Council	26/02/2021
T6-20-523	Lot 6	Sec 28A DP759080		115-145	Sea Street	West Kempsey	2440	13: Community facility	KLEP2013	R1 General Residential	Clause 4.3 of KLEP	The objectives of the 8.5 metre height of building development standard are to retain the local character; to minimise overshadowing impacts; to minimise visual impacts; and to provide for the reasonable sharing of views. In this case strict compliance with the standard is unnecessary as:  - The proposal is setback more than 25m from the property boundary, and continues the scale and building setbacks along the streetscape. A number of buildings on the subject site are of equal or greater building height and scale, with the proposal being responsive to the built form of the existing school. This ensures that the building is appropriate as perceived from the public realm, and is consistent with the local character;  - The proposal is unlikely to result in any significant visual impacts from surrounding development; any significant acoustic privacy issues; nor create an adverse impact on solar access by unreasonably overshadowing surrounding residential development; and  - The development is an enclosed structure, maintaining all weather access and providing a learning space for the effective delivery of education within the school campus students and staff. The proposal will which enhances the existing facility that already provides services the needs of many residents within the community.  It is noted that, pursuant to Clause 64 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, the Director-General has notified Council of assumed concurrence in respect of all applications made under Clause 4.6 (aside from certain rural development that does not apply in this instance).  Given the above, it is considered that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify variation from the development standard in this circumstance. As such, it is recommended that Council support the request to vary Clause 4.6 of KLEP 2013.	24%	Council	16/03/2021